12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Nicholas Fogg, Marlborough West Division

To Councillor John Thomson, Deputy Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Highways and Streetscene and Broadband

Question 1

Is the Cabinet member aware that the herbicide "Roundup" has been used recently in the environs of both an infants and a kindergarten in Marlborough? Would he agree that, while concerns about its effects on humans and the environment are growing, it would be advisable to discourage its use in areas where children gather?

Response

I can confirm that neither the council nor its contractors have undertaken any weed control at the school premises. It may be that the school management has arranged this treatment itself.

I have sought information from both the council's Public Health and Public Protection Service and Public Health England on this issue.

The above was given as a verbal answer at council, the below was also sent on to Cllr Nick Fogg afterwards to expand on the answer.

The active ingredient of Roundup is glyphosate. This is a non-selective herbicide registered for use on many food and non-food crops as well as non-crop areas where total vegetation control is desired. When applied at lower rates, it serves as a plant growth regulator. The most common uses include control of broadleaf weeds and grasses.

Glyphosate is most often applied as a spray and is removed from the atmosphere by gravitational settling. After glyphosate is applied it is strongly adsorbed to soil, remains in the upper soil layers, and has a low propensity for leaching. It readily and completely biodegrades in soil even under low temperature conditions.

Glyphosate is often used on road sides and in residential settings. Whilst there are some concerns expressed on the internet about its safety, current advice is that as long as it is used as per the instructions and within the levels recommended then it is safe to use near children. Common safety factors should be applied when spraying to avoid it being blown by the wind towards the public.

The product is licensed for use in the UK, and I am not aware of any authoritative assessments in to detrimental health impacts. However I will ensure that best practice is followed in all cases where the council uses this herbicide.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Terry Chivers, Melksham Without North Division

To Councillor Keith Humphries, Cabinet Member for Public Health, Protection Services, Adult Care and Housing (excluding Strategic Housing)

Question 2

Do you agree with the Bedroom Tax?

Response

A verbal response was given at the meeting.

No written response was used to give this answer.

Question 3

How many families have Wiltshire Council been able to help to move to smaller homes that have been affected by the Bedroom Tax?

Response

A verbal response was given at the meeting.

Answer supplemented by verbal updates from officers.

Question 4

How many smaller homes have become available since the introduction of the bedroom tax for families to transfer to?

Response

A verbal response was given at the meeting.

Answer supplemented by verbal updates from officers.

Question 5

How much has rent arrears increased since the introduction of the bedroom tax?

Response

A verbal response was given at the meeting:

The rent arrears of those council tenants affected by the bedroom tax have increased from £78,655 to £106,076, an increase of 35%.

This answer was as a guide only, actual answer supplemented by verbal updates from officers.

Question 6

How many tenants are deemed to be under-occupying, and needing smaller accommodation?

Response

A verbal response was given at the meeting:

There are currently 417 council tenants affected by the bedroom tax.

This answer was as a guide only, actual answer supplemented by verbal updates from officers.

Question 7

What steps have Wiltshire Council been taking to help tenants that have been hit by this tax? And are we working with local housing associations?

Response

A verbal response was given at the meeting:

With regard to council tenants we identified every tenant who would be affected by the bedroom tax prior to it being introduced and offered them a personal visit to ensure that were aware of the what they would have to pay and offer advice as to what they might want to do about it. The advice included making them aware that they could apply for a Discretionary Housing Payment on a temporary basis: they could look to move to smaller accommodation and the allocation policy was changed to give greater priority to those most affected; they could consider taking in a lodger or they could pay the difference. Of those that accepted the offer of a personal visit 60% said they would pay the difference. Very few said they wanted to move.

In April the council entered into a contract with the CAB to provide a benefits, debt advice and money friend service specifically for Wiltshire Council tenants. The intended benefits of this project are:

The council investing in a service which helps tenants to help themselves which is in line with the underlying principles of welfare reform

- A general reduction of overall debt for tenants
- A reduction in arrears and possible evictions and associated costs for the council
- An improvement in the reach of advice services to tenants
- Early interventions so tenants avoid getting into real difficulty (particularly through the money advice service)

In addition to the Homes4Wiltshire register the Council subscribes to Homeswapper a national tenant exchange register which allows tenants to see if there is anyone who might want to swap properties with them, subject to the respective landlord's permission anywhere in the country.

This answer was as a guide only, actual answer supplemented by verbal updates from officers.

Question 8

How many people are already on the waiting list for smaller properties?

Response

A verbal response was given at the meeting:

Answer supplemented by verbal updates from officers.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Jeff Osborn, Trowbridge Grove Division

To Councillor Keith Humphries, Cabinet Member for Public Health, Protection Services, Adult Care and Housing (excluding Strategic Housing)

Question 9

Does Wiltshire Council have any notion as to how many Food Banks there are in the county?

What is our relationship with such organisations?

Are they utilised and/or assisted by the Council?

Response

A verbal response was given at the meeting:

There are a number of Food Banks in Wiltshire. Officers are aware that Food Banks exist in the following areas: Salisbury, Warminster, Bradford on Avon, Chippenham, Westbury, Devizes, Corsham and Trowbridge.

The council is working in partnership with Food Banks in the Wiltshire and the relationship is good. For example:

The work of the Chippenham Food Bank was recognised for its work at the recent Voluntary and Community Sector awards.

Area Boards have provided small grants to Food Banks on an ad hoc basis. The Warminster and Corsham Food Banks have received grants totalling £3,445 since 2009/10.

The council is a referring agent to Food Banks through social services, housing options and most frequently by the new council operated Local Welfare Provision (LWP) scheme (which replaced the DWP's crisis loans and community care grants).

Since the creation of the LWP scheme (on 1st April 2013) the following referrals have been made (between April and September 2013):

92 clients - Trussell Trust in Salisbury

2 clients - Warminster Food Bank

38 clients - Chippenham Food Bank

25 clients - Trowbridge Food Bank

52 clients - Devizes Food Bank.

Total referrals: 209

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Terry Chivers, Melksham Without North Division

To Councillor John Thomson, Deputy Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Highways and Streetscene and Broadband

Question 10

In September I contacted you regarding the delays to the signage works that was due to be undertaken on the Western Way Melksham. I have been advised by Officers that the work has been delayed due to BBLP being unable to provide a temporary traffic management plan, and due to this we are still waiting for a start date.

To date I still await your reply. Can you tell me when I can expect a reply, and why I have had to wait so long for an answer?

Response

The work at Western Way roundabout involves resigning and remarking the roundabout and its approaches, with the introduction of lane destination markings on the circulatory carriageway. The scheme is to increase the capacity of the junction and reduce delays by helping traffic to get into the correct lane before the junction.

In view of its location on the strategic network and the traffic flows at this junction it is important that works are carried out safely and with the minimum delays to traffic. This involves careful planning and phasing of works. The work is being programmed at the moment, and will be co-ordinated with other work on the network.

Cllr Chivers has had a response from the Council officers dealing with this matter, and will be advised of the date for the work when the programme is finalised.

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Helen Osborn, Trowbridge Lambrok Division

To Councillor Laura Mayes, Cabinet Member for Childrens' Services

Question 11

What is the situation regarding children's social workers in this authority? How many vacancies are there? How many locums are employed – numbers and percentage of overall establishment?

Response

- There are 137.1 full time equivalent (fte) frontline qualified social workers currently working, of these 28.8 FTE are agency staff. This equates to 79% permanent frontline staff.
- We have recruited above our establishment of 132.4 by 4.71 FTE if we take the additional (over establishment) agency staff out of the equation the rate is 82% permanent staff and 18% agency.
- We also have social work qualified managers in Children's Social Care these number 35 with 2 being agency supplied. This equates to a rate of 6% agency.
- A number of new recruitment initiatives have taken place including development of a recruitment microsite, branded advertising campaign and participation at national social work recruitment events. This has lead to 25 new hires since April 2013 with more in process.
- In addition we are working with specialist recruitment agencies to source high quality candidates for both temporary and permanent vacancies.
- A targeted advertising campaign is due to start next month which will drive further interest in our opportunities through the microsite. This uses google search optimisation, targeted e-mailshots, editorials and banner advertising in the four most relevant online media sources for social workers.
- In addition attendance at other careers and social work events are planned, including the community care live event in November, to raise the profile of the council as an employer of choice.

- Frontline Social Workers (caseholding Social Workers excluding Disabled Children's team)
- We have 78 posts of which 71 are filled. That leaves 7 vacancies.
- · There are currently 30 agency social workers.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Helen Osborn, Trowbridge Lambrok Division

To Councillor John Thomson, Deputy Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Highways and Streetscene and Broadband

Question 12

When did the Cabinet member for Highways first know that Balfour Beatty Living Places was losing a million pounds over the first months of the contract and that redundancies and redeployment of staff were happening? In light of these factors how does he consider this contractor will cope with a harsh winter? Has he any contingency plans?

Response

I was asked on 4 October by a reporter from a local newspaper if I was aware of BBLP losing money over their contract in Wiltshire. The Council has not seen the accounts of the contractor in the current financial year and therefore has no knowledge of profitability or otherwise of their operations here.

Similarly earlier this month the Council was made aware of proposed restructuring and redundancies in BBLP workforce which will result in a net reduction of 9 staff. The performance of the winter maintenance service is monitored through preparation of operational plans which are nearly completed and show readiness of the contractor for dealing with winter. The winter maintenance plans include all necessary contingencies for dealing with a winter event.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Chris Hurst, Royal Wootton Bassett South Division

To Councillor Jonathan Seed, Cabinet Member for Communities, Campuses, Area Boards, Leisure, Libraries and Flooding

Question 13

Is the Council genuinely committed to providing a 'Campus' for Royal Wootton Bassett and if so, what action is the Council proposing to bring the Campus project to fruition?

Response

A verbal response was given at the meeting:

No written notes were used.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Terry Chivers, Melksham Without North Division

To Councillor Jane Scott OBE, Leader of the Council

Question 14

With hundreds of our staff being made redundant Melksham Without Parish Council, decided that they would try and encourage applicants for the post of Assistant Clerk which would be on a part time basis of 20 hours per week, from any former members of Wiltshire Staff that may wish to apply.

Both I and Melksham Without Parish Council felt disappoint to be told by Wiltshire Councils HR department that this would cost £65 to advertise the post on an internal basis.

I felt that this must have been a mistake and a kick in the teeth to all the members of staff that may be about to lose their job. I emailed you asking for a full explanation and received a holding reply from your PA saying that a full reply would be sent later. It wasn't until I chased the reply that I received one which was most helpful. I also emailed you regarding an issue after the May Full Council meeting I received a holding reply but regret that you have never replied as promised.

The Councils protocol states that a response should be given within 10 days does this not apply to yourself and your Cabinet?

Response

A verbal response was given at the meeting:

No written notes were used.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Terry Chivers, Melksham Without North Division To Councillor Jane Scott OBE, Leader of the Council

Question 15

Wiltshire residents wishing to pay for Council services at Council Offices across the County cannot do so by cash. What arrangements are made by the Council for residents that are unable to obtain, or don't want a bank account to pay in cash?

Response

The preferred arrangement for people who want to pay in cash is to provide them a payment card. This card contains their reference number and name and can be used in any post office or store which displays the Pay Zone facility. The post office or store will accept cash or cheques and process the payment on behalf of the council. This credit card sized product is externally sourced and dispatched by the manufacturer to the payer from a list we send them weekly. It is estimated there are around 12,000 cards currently in circulation across Wiltshire which are used to pay approximately £1.4million per month, 6% of net council tax income, per annum.

Cash is accepted at all our libraries and leisure centres for services provided at those locations.

Processing cash costs the Council approximately £300k annually. Over the coming years different methods of payments will be introduced and promoted so that this overhead cost is reduced.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Terry Chivers, Melksham Without North Division

To Councillor John Thomson, Deputy Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Highways and Streetscene and Broadband

Question 16

How much did it cost to install and how much will it cost to remove the parking ticket machines at Melksham House, and how much income have they made for the Council?

Response

A very approximate cost of removing the machines would be c.£500 per machine.

Income to date

Year	Income
2013/14 (year to	
date)	4,078
2012/13	6,572
2011/12	3,655

Question 17

Why is it that the Council fail to enforce illegal parking in rural areas? For example vehicles parked on footways etc. Is it because motorist over staying, for a few minutes, in town centre car parks are an easy target?

Response

No area is ignored and nor is the deployment of officers considered against what may generate income.

Question 18

How many parking wardens are the Council planning to send to this year's Boxing Day Hunt, at Lacock, and how much will this cost the taxpayers of Wiltshire?

Response

For this years event (2013) we are planning to send 4 Civil enforcement Officers. The cost of this is approx. £200.

Question 19

How many parking tickets were issued at last year's Boxing Day Hunt at Lacock?

Response

7 Penalty Charge Notices were issued at last years event (2012).

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Jeff Osborn, Trowbridge Grove Division

To Councillor Keith Humphries, Cabinet Member for Public Health, Protection Services, Adult Care and Housing (excluding Strategic Housing)

Question 20

There has recently been considerable concern expressed in the national media over a survey by Leonard Cheshire Disability which highlighted that many local authority organised home care visits were of only fifteen minutes duration and that this was inadequate for any meaningful human interaction. What is the situation in Wiltshire?

Response

A verbal response was given at the meeting:

Verbal response prepared from existing knowledge.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Helen Osborn, Trowbridge Lambrok Division

To Councillor John Thomson, Deputy Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Highways and Streetscene and Broadband

Question 21

When I attended the recent Trowbridge CATG meeting, it was apparent that a number of metro count requests had not been actioned.

This seems to be related to a staffing capacity matter.

What is going on?

Are these metro counts, which are an essential part of the Speed Watch initiative, not now considered important?

Are the police aware of this hold up?

Unless prompt action is taken the extension of Speed Watch will be seriously undermined.

Response

The Police are aware of the situation and we have had a meeting with the new CSW Manager to update her.

The person carrying out the Metrocounts has left for alternative employment, and there has been a delay in organising alternative delivery method. As part of the current plan for the Community Speed Watch, this post is transferred from the Police to the Council and we will be using contractors to deliver the service. Recruitment and training is currently underway and we hope to resume the counts shortly.

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Ricky Rogers, Salisbury Bemerton Division

To Councillor Jane Scott OBE, Leader of the Council

Question 22

In July/August 2013 The Leader authorized the appointment of an additional Wiltshire Councillor to the Salisbury Vision Board following consultation with the Salisbury Vision Board Chairman.

Will the Leader of the Council confirm who the Additional Wiltshire Councillor is representing: The Wiltshire Council Conservative Group or himself?

Response

A verbal response was given largely based off the following briefing notes.

The appointment of members to the Vision Board is a matter for the independent Vision Chairman and the Vision Board. The Vision Chairman may choose to consult upon Board appointments with the Leader of the Council and others as he/she considers appropriate. However the decision rests with the Vision Board.

On 8th August 2013, Councillor Richard Clewer was invited by the Chairman of the Vision Board Sir Christopher Benson to continue as a Salisbury Board Member in recognition of his on-going contributions to the work of the Vision and in particular his involvement in the task groups reporting to the Vision's Transport Strategy Reference Group. He is not representing the Wiltshire Council Conservative Group on this body

It may be worth noting that precedent exists for Board Members to be invited to join the Board if they have a particular contribution to make even if they are not directly representing any organisation. Peter Edge was a full Board Member for at least a year as a private individual.

Also, it may be worth noting that precedent also exists for additional Wiltshire Councillors to be regular attendees at Vision Board meetings. Councillor Richard Beattie sat as an observer on the Board for many months on the grounds that he held a relevant portfolio within the administration.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Jon Hubbard, Melksham South Division

To Councillor Jane Scott OBE, Leader of the Council

Question 23

In light of the significant pressures that will be facing Town and Parish councils this year in light of the changes to the precepts being forced on both Wiltshire Council and the Parishes as a result of central government, does the leader agree that some of the out-of-date boundaries that we have across Wiltshire do not help particularly the towns with forward planning and provision of services.

As an example, the recent East of Melksham development has resulted in houses on one side of a street being in Melksham Town and those on the other side being in Melksham Without Parish. Residents in the area assume they are in the town and look to the Town Council for provision of services.

Would the Leader consider making undertaking a Community Governance Review a priority and if so could she give some indication of when it may take place?

Response

A verbal response was given largely based off the following briefing notes.

A comprehensive community governance review is the subject of item 13 of the Council Summons, and if members agree with the recommendations the review would begin in the new year, giving members until January to identify all the issues and priorities in their area.

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Jon Hubbard, Melksham South Division

To Councillor Laura Mayes, Cabinet Member for Childrens' Services

Question 24

Is the Cabinet Member aware that the back page of the recent edition of Primary Times, which I understand is distributed to all children in our primary schools, carried an advertisement which included a large photo of the pop group "The Saturdays" dressed in Santa hats in a range of sexually provocative poses.

Could the Cabinet Member please tell me how supplying this image to every child in Wiltshire fits in with the council's priorities regarding Child Sexual Exploitation?

Furthermore, does the Cabinet Member agree that pushing such images of glamour models on young children does nothing to help with increasing young people's self esteem?

Response

I was not aware of the back page of the recent edition of Primary Times until this was brought to my attention by one of my officers. Primary Times is a national magazine launched in Bristol in 1989 and provides parents with information on what's on and where to go with children in their local community. It is distributed to approximately 36,000 children in Wiltshire. The latest addition was sent out by schools a few days before the October break. The advertisement was put into the magazine by The Mall at Cribbs Causeway where "The Saturdays" will shortly be switching on the Christmas lights and doing a charity concert in aid of Help for Heroes. The local authority has no role in overseeing this magazine.

One of my officers has contacted the publisher to discuss the advertisement. There have been no complaints made direct to the company that publishes the magazine, despite the fact that the same magazine has also been sent out to thousands of children through Bristol primary schools. The publisher is clear that it checks the appropriateness of advertisements before publication and that if complaints were made that these would be followed up with the advertiser.

I think that there are many influences on children and young people's self-esteem. Our role in Children's Services is to support children and young people's emotional well-being and help them reach their full potential.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Jon Hubbard, Melksham South Division

To Councillor Laura Mayes, Cabinet Member for Childrens' Services

Question 25

I am sure that the Cabinet Member is aware that there have been a number of issues raised in the national press with regard to images and videos on FaceBook. Whilst I would normally adopt the attitude that if someone chooses to watch something then that is their choice I am concerned that there is evidence that some young people in Wiltshire are being presented with materials on FaceBook which are quite inappropriate.

One such example that has been brought to my attention is of a young teenager in Salisbury who had a video posted to her timeline on FaceBook which showed a donkey being pushed off a cliff by two men. I understand that this video caused considerable distress to the young person concerned.

Would the Cabinet Member be prepared to send a joint letter from myself as Chair of the Children's Select Committee and herself as the Lead Member for Children's Services in Wiltshire to FaceBook expressing our concerns about the accessibility of these types of materials to young people in our communities?

Response

Yes

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Dr Helena McKeown, Salisbury St Edmund and Milford Division

To Councillor Keith Humphries, Cabinet Member for Public Health, Protection Services, Adult Care and Housing (excluding Strategic Housing)

Question 26

Is the Cabinet member aware of the evidence of trees in cities improving air quality and would the Council make use of the strategy of planting more trees in Salisbury city centre to improve air quality?

http://www.fs.fed.us/nrs/pubs/jrnl/2013/nrs 2013 nowak 002.pdf

Response

The Public Health team are actively investigating the evidence in this field. This includes the recent experiment using birch saplings undertaken after research from Lancaster University. We have identified some of the research undertaken by Prof Barbara Maher and it appears the research has been focussed on using trees to monitor levels of particulates using the biomagnetic properties of tree leaves to estimate levels of particulate pollution. Therefore if they collect particulates they can be deemed to be taking particulates out of the atmosphere. We will make recommendations on tree planning in Salisbury and elsewhere in the county when we have completed the review

Any notes on file used as a briefing note only. Verbal response prepared from existing knowledge and from questions asked on previous occasions and at scrutiny meetings

Question 27

Is the Cabinet member aware of a newly published large scale study linking even low air pollution from traffic and industrial emissions to low birth-weight and can the Cabinet member update me on what if any progress has been made on identifying links in the health of our population to areas of pollution in Salisbury city centre please?

http://news.sky.com/story/1154600/air-pollution-linked-to-low-birthweight

Response

The Public Health Team continues to monitor all research evidence on the impact on health of air pollution and we are currently undertaking a full literature review. We continue to support the Air Quality Management Area groups who are working at community level to encourage understanding of these issues and associated behaviour change to reduce air pollution from traffic.

The business case for the text alert system has been prepared and will be submitted for tender and procurement by the end of 2013. The system will allow us to do the following:

- Send text alerts providing health advice for vulnerable/ targeted individuals
 who are susceptible to elevated levels of air pollution due to an underlying
 condition such as asthma or cardiopulmonary disease.
- Provide air quality data in real time via an externally hosted interactive website allowing wider public access to information on air quality in the county.
- The text system will be linked to the website in order for the alerts to be triggered to recipients.

We are working with the CCG to ensure that this system will be linked to GP practices so that vulnerable patients can be identified.

Any notes on file used as a briefing note only. Verbal response prepared from existing knowledge and from questions asked on previous occasions and at scrutiny meetings

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Dr Helena McKeown, Salisbury St Edmund and Milford Division

To Councillor John Thomson, Deputy Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Highways and Streetscene and Broadband

Question 28

Why were views of the Area Board not sought between January and April 2013 regarding possible sites for the new bus stops in Salisbury prior to Atkins being instructed to looks at only five roads? What attempt to seek alternative locations was made and consideration given to potential alternative whereby a better overall compromise may be achievable?

Response

When notification was first received of the proposed closure of the bus station, consideration was given to a range of options. Continuing to provide a bus station, on the current or a new site, was not considered feasible for a number of reasons including the lack of a suitable and available alternative site, and the cost of providing a suitable facility and managing and maintaining it into the future. An officer / operator working group was therefore set up by the Vision for Salisbury Quality Bus Partnership to recommend possible workable arrangements for bus stops in the city centre. It was concluded that some new stops would be required, and possible locations were suggested that would be convenient for passengers, operationally feasible and that would minimise bus mileage operated in the city centre. In reality the number of locations available for new stops that would meet these criteria was very limited, particularly once the needs of other road users (e.g. for disabled parking spaces) were taken into account.

Atkins were then commissioned to review the need for new bus stop infrastructure, and confirm the number of new stops needed and the most suitable locations. The latter part of the work included producing preliminary designs and confirming the technical feasibility of the proposals, and it was considered preferable to wait until the outcome of this was known before consulting the Area Board. Although a verbal report on progress and the proposed locations under consideration was given to the Salisbury Transport Strategy Reference Group on 23 May, the preferred locations were not confirmed until June. A verbal update was given to the Area Board on 27 June, listing the proposed sites, before the public consultation began.

Question 29

Is the Cabinet member aware that the implementation of the new bus stops in Milford Street, Salisbury ignores Wiltshire Council's own recommended minimum carriageway width of 3.2 m?

Response

The question has been prompted following an exchange of letters between officers and the owner of a business premises in Milford Street to which both and I and the member raising the question have been copied. Therefore I am both aware that the carriageway width is being reduced below the council's minimum recommended width and the explanation for doing so. For ease of reference I have attached the explanation given in the exchange of correspondence below:

"The figure of 3.2 metres is a recommended rather than absolute minimum carriageway width. As is explained in Paragraphs 4.28 and 4.29 [of the report considering the comments received during the public consultation on its proposal] the proposed bus stops will work in much the same way as the existing bus stop in Milford Street. As the existing bus stop in Milford Street brings the remaining carriageway width down below the council's recommended minimum it was decided that to continue with the precedent set by the existing bus stop when developing the proposals for Milford Street. How the proposed bus stop is intended to operate was also a key factor in deciding to go below the council's recommended minimum carriageway width. It is important to keep in mind that the carriageway width is only narrowed to 2.25 metres wide when two buses are using the stop at the same time otherwise the carriageway width is 5.25 metres as vehicles are permitted to drive over bus stops."

I'm aware that the member raising the question has received a copy of the report but for ease of reference a copy of the report can be viewed or downloaded from the following webpage:

http://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council/areaboards/salisburyareaboard.htm

Question 30

Is the Cabinet member aware of the ctc (the National Cycling Charity) has recently reported that it is not legal for cyclists to access advanced stop if there is no lead-in and the discrepancy in the Wiltshire Council published report that says the advanced stop line reservoir that is being retained does not legally require a feeder lane to be provided?

Response

The statement made that it is not legal for cyclists to access an advanced stop line if there is no lead in is incorrect. The use of a cyclist advanced stop line reservoir without a feeder lane is permitted by dia. 1001.2a of 'The Traffic Signs (Amendment) (No. 2) Regulations and General Directions 2011' (TSRGD hereafter). For information the TSRGD is a statutory instrument of parliament which defines what

markings can legally be laid on the public highway. For reference the TSRGD is Statutory Instrument No. 3041 of 2011 and may be viewed or downloaded from www.legislation.gov.uk.

Question 31

How does the Cabinet member propose to monitor the impact on pavement congestion, air quality and road safety of the new bus stops in Salisbury and at what point will ensuing problems be deemed to be significant enough for remedial measures to be considered and what would such remedial measures consist of?

Response

Monitoring of footway congestion will be undertaken by ad hoc site visits from highways officers and public transport officers. Road safety will be monitored by highways officers reviewing the Police Collision Database. In addition any comments received from the public will be used to establish the level and frequency of difficulty that is occurring.

With regard to footway congestion problems it is difficult to know at what point the intervention will be needed as there are no specific criteria that define what footway congestion is and when intervention will be required. Obviously, factors such as the number of occasions pedestrians are forced into the road and time delays to pedestrians using the footway would be important factors to consider. With regard to air quality and road safety intervention these would only be considered if there was a deterioration in either area that could be proven to be directly related to the provision of the additional bus stops.

Turning to air quality issues, the Council currently monitor for nitrogen dioxide in Castle Street. We review our diffusion tube monitoring programme annually, therefore if we deem it necessary tubes may be relocated to take into account the new bus stop locations being provided in the City (Endless Street. Milford Street and Castle Street). The centre is already an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA), therefore subject to an Air Quality Action Plan.

Any planning application for the redevelopment of the old bus station will be required to take into account Core Policy 55 contained within the emerging Wiltshire Core Strategy and to take into consideration the Draft Air Quality Supplementary Planning Guidance

As it is not known if any problems will arise I do not consider it appropriate to suggest what form any remedial measures may take at this stage other than to say that any remedial measures put forward will need to be consummate with any problems that arise.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Ian West, Till & Wylye Valley Division

To Councillor Jane Scott OBE, Leader of the Council

Question 32

Over many years Wiltshire County Council and its successor Wiltshire Council had a policy "no closure of the A344 until the A303 is improved (dualled)". We know that as recently as 11 April 2011, Wiltshire Council wrote to the then Minister of Transport to remind him that the Council was against any plan to close the A344 without an improvement to the A303 because of the likely impact on traffic in local communities - a likely consequence that has now happened.

Can the leader tell me what happened at some point between 11th April 2011 and June 2011 for the Council to abandon its long held policy?

Response

A verbal response was given largely based off the following briefing notes.

The closure of section of A344 is as a result of a Stopping Up Order (SUO) linked to English Heritage's planning application for the new Visitors Centre. This was referred to a Public Inquiry which also considered a Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) for restricting access on Byway 12 and between Byway 12 and Airman's Corner. The Inspector recommended approval of the SUO and TRO (partly). The SUO was subsequently approved by the Secretary of State and the TRO by the Council in accordance with the Inspectors Recommendation. Both Orders were in line with the objectives of the World Heritage Site Management Plan, which the Council is a signatory to.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Ian West, Till and Wylye Valley Division

To Councillor Fleur de Rhé-Philipe, Cabinet Member for Economy, Skills and Transport

Question 33

Somerset County Council commissioned an Economic Impact Study in May 2012 This assessment of transport and wider economic impacts has demonstrated that there will be significant benefits associated with dualling the full length of the A303 / A30 between Amesbury and Honiton and the A358 between Illminster and Taunton. Could the Cabinet member tell me what work/studies Wiltshire Council has done to further the case for dualling of the A303 in South Wiltshire?

Response

Wiltshire Council's position in relation to the latest initiative to improve this vital transport infrastructure was captured in a prospectus which we produced in partnership with Devon and Somerset County Councils and the Local Economic Partnerships in our area. Since submission of the prospectus to the minister, the government has announced funding for a feasibility study on the whole route and we will be working with Highways Agency and other local authorities to progress the study. We will also continue to promote the much needed improvements at every opportunity.

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Ian West, Till and Wylye Valley Division

To Councillor John Thomson, Deputy Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Highways and Streetscene and Broadband

Question 34

At the A344 Stopping Up Public Inquiry, the expert witness David Lear of Halcrow, traffic engineers, put English Heritage's defence against Orcheston Parish Council's traffic concerns forward. Wiltshire Council, by granting the Planning consent for the visitor centre, and by not objecting to the Stopping Up were effectively saying that they accepted all Mr Lear's traffic projections for the local road network. (Likewise the Highways Authority for the A303). John Hobson QC for English Heritage, in questioning the Orcheston Parish Council Chairman, stated that surely Orcheston as a small parish with limited resources should rely on, and trust, Wiltshire Council to investigate these claims.

Wiltshire Council officers have stated in the past, that they will monitor the traffic if there is a problem, local residents in the local villages know there is a real traffic problem since the A344 closure. When will the monitoring begin? and where? If the traffic flows turn out to be different from those projected they said that they will look at restricting the routes. if this is the case when will this happen?

Response

Cllr Fleur de Rhé-Phillipe spoke to these questions. A verbal response was given largely based off the following briefing notes.

Traffic in the vicinity of Stonehenge has been influenced by a number of temporary and permanent changes to the local road network over the past year, and visitors to the stones over the summer have been at a peak. There will of course be a further change in December when the new visitor centre is opened, and monitoring will be carried out once that steady state has been achieved. Data will be collected over time to record seasonal variations, and will include routes that have the potential for diversion by cars and HGV's.

The Council is continuing to work with the Highways Agency to find ways to mitigate the problems of delays on the A303 and the additional traffic on the alternative local routes.

Question 35

A council officer quoted in the Salisbury Journal after the March 2010 Amesbury Area Board meeting, said "Telegraph Hill was not likely to be affected by the closure, and said the impact on the Packway would be not significant as to cause a capacity problem We can't avoid people taking different routes if they can. What we need to do is to monitor whether that traffic is appropriate traffic using that route. If there is large traffic diverting on a regular basis, we should be looking at restricting these routes."

This hardly needs monitoring it is plain for all to see that there is a problem of HGV's diverting from the A303 at Solstice Park over Telegraph Hill to beat the congestion when travelling West, when can we expect some action to restrict these routes?

Response

Cllr Fleur de Rhé-Phillipe spoke to these questions. A verbal response was given largely based off the following briefing notes.

Same answer as 34 above

Question 36

The High Street in Shrewton has a school and limited pavements throughout its length, since the closure of the A344 residents have experienced a major increase in traffic which has included HGV's and Car Transporters.

Will the Council consider some major changes and improvements at Rollestone Cross roads as a matter of urgency?

Response

Cllr Fleur de Rhé-Phillipe spoke to these questions. A verbal response was given largely based off the following briefing notes.

Monitoring a more settled arrangement will in time show the extent of any permanent change in traffic flows through Shrewton. If a 'major' increase in traffic is found to be the case, an approach to mitigation would need to be developed, however it is too early to speculate whether improvements at Rollestone Cross Roads would achieve the best outcome.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Glenis Ansell, Calne North Division

To Councillor Fleur de Rhé-Philipe, Cabinet Member for Economy, Skills and Transport

Question 38

An increasing number of people are finding themselves financially excluded as a result of the current economic climate; what support is the council offering to people who need financial help and support and feel that their only options are 'doorstep lending' or Pay Day loan companies. What is the council's policy on Financial Exclusion and what proactive steps are the council taking to promote alternative borrowing options such as credit unions?

Response

The council recognises the effects of financial exclusion and has taken a number of steps over several years to support individuals.

Policy

The council is a key partner on Wiltshire Money which is the strategic financial inclusion partnership for the county. Wiltshire Money has a strategic plan and the council is fully signed up to this:

https://sites.google.com/site/wiltshiremoney/publications

Wiltshire Money is hosting a strategic conference in December which the council has been asked to take an active role in.

A financial inclusion plan has been prepared for the council's housing management service. This plan will be published shortly and sets out measures to support the council's housing tenants in respect to financial inclusion.

Proactive steps

The council has worked closely with the county's three credit unions over a number of years. The council recognises the valuable service they offer to people who cannot easily access affordable financial services and products including loans. The support which has been provided includes:

 Action for Wiltshire provided a grant of £40k to Wiltshire Credit Union in order to sustain operations following the cessation of Growth Fund. Growth Fund (DWP funding) provided revenue funding and loan capital for the credit unions to offer affordable loans to new members without a history of savings. When Growth Fund ended the loan capital remained with the credit unions for recycling but revenue funding ceased and this was provided by the council instead. The funding was spread over two years and came to an end in August 2013.

- Wiltshire Council has provided a grant funding to support credit unions
- Wiltshire Council's communications and branding team has assisted with the production of a leaflet which promotes Credit Union membership.
- The council recently adopted a payroll savings scheme to help promote membership amongst its staff. This enables staff to save direct from their monthly salary.
- The council has brokered volunteer recruitment assistance through WREN (Wiltshire Responsible Employer Network) and GROW's Volunteer Centre.

In addition to this, and relevant to this issue, is the council's administration of Local Welfare Provision. This provides advice and support to people who are either in a crisis situation or need help to move back into or stay in the community. Although the council does not give cash awards, there is a variety of help for people in financial crisis:

http://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/counciltaxhousingandbenefits/localwelfareprovision.htm

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Glenis Ansell, Calne North Division

To Councillor Toby Sturgis, Cabinet Member for Strategic Planning, Development Management, Strategic Housing, Property, Waste

Question 39

Across the UK there are an increasing number of councils who are imposing planning restrictions on the opening of Pay Day loan shops on High Streets. Can the Cabinet Member tell me what action Wiltshire has taken /or is planning to take to impose restrictions on these companies.

Response

The Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order allows use of a building or land within a particular class set out in the Order for any purpose which is within the same class. For example, a bank falls within Class A2 of the Use Classes Order, as does an estate agency (both 'financial and professional services'). It follows that in normal circumstances a bank can change to an estate agency with no requirement for a planning application to be made to the local planning authority. Equally, a pay day loan shop would be a Class A2 use, so again it follows that a bank, estate agency or any other financial and professional service use could change to a pay day loan shop with no requirement for a planning application to be made to the local planning authority, this not being considered 'development'.

The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order also grants planning permission for certain changes of use between different use classes without the need for a planning application. This includes a permanent change from a Class A5 use ('hot food takeaways') to a Class A2 use, from a Class A4 use ('drinking establishments') to a Class A2 use, and from a Class A3 use ('restaurants and cafes') to a Class A2 use. The Order also allows a temporary change of use for up to two years to a 'flexible use', which can include a Class A2 use, from a Class A1 use ('shops'), a Class B1 use ('business'), a Class D1 use ('non-residential institutions') and a Class D2 use ('assembly and leisure'). This is 'permitted development' under the terms of the Town and Country Planning Act.

The Order includes a provision which allows permitted development entitlement to be removed (referred to as an 'Article 4 Direction'). Guidance on their use states that local planning authorities should consider making Article 4 directions only in those exceptional circumstances where evidence suggests that the exercise of permitted development rights would harm local amenity or the proper planning of the area, and in deciding whether an article 4 direction would be appropriate, local

planning authorities should identify clearly the potential harm that the direction is intended to address. Article 4 directions can only be used to remove permitted development entitlements – it follows that they cannot remove the right to move between uses which are within the same use class, this not being development.

The question refers to an increasing number of councils imposing planning restrictions on the opening of pay day loan shops in high streets. An example of this is Southwark Council which has begun the process of making Article 4 Directions as referred to above. Southwark Council's cabinet member for regeneration and corporate strategy is quoted on its website as saying "the spread of payday loan shops, pawnbrokers and betting shops is out of control. This [the Article 4 direction's] innovative, proactive approach to addressing planning legislation will make a tangible change to the lives of people living in areas where so-called 'financial services' businesses are so prolific. I hope it will help to stem the flow of the growing number of businesses that prove hugely tempting to those struggling financially but can result in awful debt and further stress." In taking this action Southwark Council has acknowledged that it does not have the power to control uses or changes of use within Class A2 itself. It also acknowledges that its approach does not mean that it will be able to block any such pay day loan shops or bookmakers, but it will increase the number of hoops a prospective operator has to jump through.

As is evident from the quote, Southwark apparently has an "out of control" situation as far as the number of pay day loan shops opening in its high streets is concerned. There is no evidence to suggest that this is the case in Wiltshire. There are therefore no exceptional circumstances that would warrant consideration of an Article 4 Declaration.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Simon Killane, Malmesbury Division

To Councillor Richard Tonge, Cabinet Member for Finance, Performance, Risk, Procurement and Welfare Reform

Question 40

Residents often perceive that it costs the council more to do a job than it would cost a private company or individual. How does the council negotiate with all their suppliers and play one off against the other and is there any substance to this perception?

Response

This is a difficult question to answer as one person's perception differs from another's in the same situation. What you see and hear depends a good deal on where you are standing; it also depends on what sort of person you are. Put another way if you are in the trench digging your perception differs from the person watching you.

The Council has strong procurement rules, and just like all public bodies has UK and European rules to comply with to ensure there is strong competition. As part of these processes and in determining what to include in a contract the Council looks at its current in house provision and costs and benchmarks those against other providers. The Council has many examples of where it has done this from Adult Care to Printing, IT and Utilities. Contract awards are assessed based on cost and quality, and part of that includes the current versus future costs. The Council uses procurement to drive down costs, but remains focussed on quality. With the continuing financial pressures the Council will be under over the coming years we are committed to making improvements ensuring that we get best value for the people of Wiltshire.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Simon Killane, Malmesbury Division

To Councillor John Thomson, Deputy Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Highways and Streetscene and Broadband

Question 41

How was the 50 mph sign implementation across the County funded?

Response

The assessment, design and delivery of all speed limit changes, including 50mph limits, has been funded from the Council's Integrated Transport Block. This is the capital allocation given to the Council by the Department for Transport on an annual basis.

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Simon Killane, Malmesbury Division

To Councillor Toby Sturgis, Cabinet Member for Strategic Planning, Development Management, Strategic Housing, Property, Waste

Question 42

Can you explain the council position on fracking and what steps are being takes to deal with applications through the Wiltshire planning system. Are there any proposed planning approvals or licences being sought?

Response

Introduction and context

The fracking process is principally governed by a licensing regime, which allows companies to search for and obtain the Crown's resources. These licences are granted by the Department of Energy and Climate Change (DECC) and not the council.

In simple terms, after an initial licence has been granted by DECC, an interested company must then come to the local authority for planning permission for exploration. Following this, the company must then obtain 'well consent' from DECC, and undertake further checks. To move beyond the exploration stage into production, planning permission must again be granted, alongside further requirements relating to other legislative regimes (e.g. pollution control measures governed by the Environment Agency and the Health Protection Agency). Further details in relation to the role of the local planning authority in terms of controlling fracking proposals are set out below.

Dealing with the planning aspects of fracking proposals

Should a planning application be submitted for fracking in Wiltshire it will be considered in the light of the national planning policy framework (the NPPF) and the local development plan.

In terms of national policy, the NPPF requires that planning authorities assess applications for all minerals developments, including oil and gas developments, so as to ensure that operations do not have unacceptable adverse impacts on the natural or historical environment or on human health, including from noise, dust, visual intrusion, or migration of contamination from the site. In doing so, planning

authorities are also advised to take into account the cumulative effects of multiple impacts from individual sites and/or a number of sites in a locality.

The NNPF makes it clear that the phases of development – i.e. exploration, appraisal and production of on-shore oil and gas extraction (including unconventional sources such as shale gas) should be clearly distinguished. Planning applications for each stage must be subject to consultation with the local community and with relevant statutory consultation bodies such as the Environment Agency before the local planning authority takes a decision. Furthermore the applicant is required to provide sufficient information that is relevant, necessary and material to the proposed development.

Shale gas wells, whether for exploration or production, are subject to the environmental impact assessment regime established by the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Directive. The EIA Directive is transposed into English law through the Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2011. Under the Regulations, all deep drilling operations, including shale gas wells, will be screened by the local planning authority to assess whether they are likely to have any significant effects on the environment. Where significant effects are identified, an environmental statement will need to be submitted to the relevant planning authority before the planning application is consulted on and considered.

At the local level, the council does not have a policy to govern specifically the control of fracking proposals. However, the policies set out in the adopted Wiltshire and Swindon Minerals Development Control Policies Development Plan Document; the 'saved' District Local Plan policies; the South Wiltshire Core Strategy; and those of the emerging Wiltshire Core Strategy will be used to test the merits of any such proposals.

Current Position

At this stage, the government has not granted any exploration or development licenses for sites within Wiltshire, nor has the council received any request from potential developers to engage in pre-planning application discussions. As outlined above and notwithstanding the current position, it is important to note that any planning application on this matter would be subject to the usual consultation with the public.

There are likely to be a number of reasons why Wiltshire has received no interest from fracking companies. However the principal reason is likely to relate to the fact that extensive exploration undertaken hydrocarbon companies in the later 1980s do not identify geological structures required to support viable oil / gas production.

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Simon Killane, Malmesbury Division

To Councillor Fleur de Rhé-Philipe, Cabinet Member for Economy, Skills and Transport

Question 43

How is Wiltshire Council making sure that SWLEP funding streams from Europe and Government will be targeted fairly around the County to address the diverse needs of both rural and town areas? Can the Council give an update on its input into the preparation of proposals for submission to government for ERDF funding?

Response

The Swindon & Wiltshire LEP (SWLEP), comprising Wiltshire Council, Swindon Borough Council, 43 Wessex Brigade, Further Education Colleges and the Business Sector, is currently developing applications for both of these funding steams: the European Structural Investment Fund (ESIF) Strategy, which draws upon the European Structural Investment Fund, and a Strategic Economic Plan, which informs the development of a Growth Deal, an agreement between the LEP and central government, utilising funds from the Single Local Growth Fund.

For the ESIF, the SWLEP has been charged by HM Government to produce a Structural and Investment Funds (ESIF) strategy to set out the priorities, objectives and targets in the area for investment from the EU funding round 2014-2020. An officer group, fielded from both local authorities has been assembled to develop the strategy. Single commissioning and delivery across the entire area is a requirement of the strategy.

The ESIF fund is subject to the LEP's priorities, and 5 priority themes were identified by the LEP board in July. The 5 priorities are:

- i. Realising growth opportunities from the military presence and rebasing;
- ii. SME growth and survival;
- iii. Support for priority sectors and growth businesses;
- iv. Building a low carbon economy;
- v. Social inclusion (including workforce skills and employability).

The nature of discussion and focus of the groups has been to find ways to support those businesses which will (a) deliver most in terms of jobs, growth and continued economic sustainability, and (b) the workforce skills needs for now and in the future. Whilst some of those activities will lend themselves to specific locations across

Wiltshire and Swindon, at this stage the LEP ESIF does have a spatial distribution model.

Firstly, ESI funds are not core funding and must only be used additionally and complementarily to what the EC regards as normal member state spending. It is important to note that the Government has set important 'ear-marking' rates for all 39 English LEPs, so that 80% of funding is spent on 3 of the 10 EU-wide thematic objectives. These are support for SMEs, support for economic innovation and research by businesses and measures to taken to build low carbon economy. This will heavily influence the type and scope of the eventual interventions which are taken from 2014 onwards.

For the Single Local Growth Fund, work is on-going with an officer task group across Swindon and Wiltshire to develop a Strategic Economic Growth Plan, recognising key opportunities and challenges for delivering economic growth (GVA). From this, specific requests will be selected to form a Growth Deal with government. As the fund is competitive nationally, the projects that Government chose to support will be prioritised according to return, that is, the impact they will have on economic growth. The SWLEP will therefore prioritise these projects in their applications for funding. Officers are working to identify these opportunities across the geography.

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Simon Killane, Malmesbury Division

To Councillor Fleur de Rhé-Philipe, Cabinet Member for Economy, Skills and Transport

Question 44

I would like to obtain the Wiltshire Councils view on this "Change of Use Consultation". Also I would be grateful if you could let me know whether or not Wiltshire Council will be responding to the Governments' consultation. Is the Council concerned about the loose of commercial rates revenue that will be incurred as a product of increased change of use to residential

The current Consultation information is on:

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/22663 2/Greater_flexibilities_for_change_of_use.pdf

Reply details are on: https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/NHXVK66

Response

The Government consultation on proposed changes to permitted development rights to allow for more changes of use to take place without the need for planning permission from the local planning authority closed on 15th October 2013. The Council did respond to the consultation.

In relation to the questions asked about whether there should be increased permitted development rights for changes of use for shops and professional services to residential, the Council made the point that there is no evidence that the existing requirement for planning permission is creating any problems and that introducing a prior approval process would add more complication to the system. The Council objected to the proposal for permitted development rights for the change of use of agricultural buildings to dwellings on several grounds. These included the loss of potential for economic development from the re-use of these buildings for employment purposes; the failure to comply with the National Planning Policy Framework that promotes sustainable development; the adverse impact on the character and appearance of the landscape, including the World Heritage Site and areas of outstanding natural beauty, and the potential for additional costs to be imposed on local taxpayers through the need for providing school bus services to isolated locations remote from services and schools.

A copy of the response is attached.

The loss of commercial rates revenue is not a material planning consideration that the Council could raise in a consultation response to DCLG that can only address planning matters.



Consultation questions - response form

We are seeking your views to the following questions on the proposals to support sustainable development and growth through encouraging the reuse of empty and redundant existing buildings where the original use was no longer required or appropriate.

How to respond:

The closing date for responses is 15 October 2013

A response form is available on the DCLG website, and can also be submitted via Survey Monkey at:

https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/NHXVK66

Responses should be sent preferably by email:

Email responses to: Changeofuse.planning@communities.gsi.gov.uk

Written responses can also be sent to:

Saima Williams
Consultation Team (Greater flexibilities to change use)
Planning Development Management Division
Department for Communities and Local Government
1/J3, Eland House
Bressenden Place
London SW1E 5DU

About you

i) Your details:

	1		
Name:	ame: Mike Wilmott Bsc Dip TP DM MRTPI		
Position:	Area Development Manager		
Name of organisation (if applicable):	Wiltshire Council		
Address:	Development Services Wiltshire Council County Hall Bythesea Road Trowbridge		
Email:	Mike.wilmott@wiltshire.gov.uk		
Telephone number:	01225 716775		
the organisation	you represent or your own personal v	iews? ⊠	
Personal views			
iii) Please tick the bo	ox which best describes you or your o	organisation:	
District Council			
Metropolitan district council			
London borough council			
Unitary authority		\boxtimes	
County council/county b	orough council		
Parish /community coun	cil		
Non-Departmental Publi	c Body (NDPB)		

Planner		
Professional trade association		
Land owner		
Private developer/house but	uilder	
Developer association		
Residents association		
Voluntary sector/charity		
Other		
(please comment):		
iv) What is your main a (please tick one box	rea of expertise or interest in this work ()?	
Planner		
Developer		
Surveyor		
Member of professional or	trade association	
Councillor		
Planning policy/implementa	ation	
Environmental protection		
Other		
(please comment):		
Would you be happy for us Yes ⊠ No □	to contact you again in relation to this questionr	naire?

i) Questions
Please refer to the relevant parts of the consultation document for narrative relating to each question.

Question 1: Do you agree there should be permitted development rights, as proposed, for shops (A1) and financial and professional services (A2) to change use to a dwelling house (C3) and to carry out building work connected with the change of use?

How do you think the prior approval requirement should be worded, in order to ensure that it is tightly defined and delivers maximum benefits?

Yes	No	

Comments

There is no evidence that the existing requirement for planning permission is creating any problems and the proposed prior approval process simply adds more complication to the system for users and landowners. The wording of the text implies that approval could be refused on principle (eg impact on economic health) but this conflicts with the usual idea of prior approval that the principle is already accepted and it is only the details that are up for agreement.

If, despite the lack of evidence of a problem, the idea is accepted, then the change should not apply in article 1(5) areas and should have the limitations suggested

Question 2: Do you agree there should be permitted development rights for retail units (A1) to change use to banks and building societies?

Yes 🛚	No	
Comments		

This should be for a change of use only. Physical alterations, particularly in Article 1(5) areas should continue to require planning permission. Listed buildings should be exempted from the permitted development right.

Question 3: Do you agree there should be permitted development rights, as proposed, for existing buildings used for agricultural purposes to change use to a dwelling house (C3) and to carry out building work connected with the change of use?

Comments

This is by far the worst of the proposals with the potential for a devastating Impact on the countryside. Already, the mere suggestion of it is leading to a drop in potential economic activity as landowners and their agents are not pursuing the earlier permitted development rights for employment use as the potential to convert to residential offers a more lucrative return.

The NPPF promotes sustainable development – but how is allowing new houses in the countryside in any way sustainable? Wiltshire is a rural county with many barns and agricultural buildings in isolated locations. Converting these to houses means that any children will need to be provided with a school bus service at more cost to the local taxpayer.

How does this marry with the statutory duty on public bodies in areas of outstanding natural beauty to protect the landscape for its beauty if every tin barn in the countryside can suddenly become a dwelling?

How does this comply with the policies in the NPPF to protect the openness of the green belt?

How will World Heritage Sites, such as the rural Avebury and Stonhenge WHS be protected from inappropriate housing development – this runs the risk of putting such designations at risk – has UNESCO been consulted?

There are huge numbers of barns, many of them open sided with no roofs or sides in isolated positions in the middle of fields that will suddenly be available for demolition and rebuilding to houses – with a cocommitant adverse impact on the character and appearance of the countryside.

One of the main planks of national and local planning policy since 1947 has been the desire to protect the character and appearance of the rural landscape for future generations by resisting housing development in inapporiate locations. This proposal would overturn this and would spoil the countryside for generations to come.

This is simply a completely unacceptable proposal and one that should be ditched now. It flies completely in the face of the NPPF and makes a mockery of its introduction less than 2 years ago and its claim to be about the golden thread of sustainable development.
Question 4: Do you agree that there should be permitted development rights, as proposed, to allow offices (B1), hotels (C1); residential institutions (C2); secure residential institutions (C2A) and assembly and leisure (D2) to change use to nurseries proving childcare, and to carry out building work connected with the change of use?
Yes ⊠ No □
Comments
There will need to be exemptions in Article 1(5) land for building work and listed buildings will also need to be exempted from such changes of use. A key element here will be the need to ensure adequate parking provision which may need to be part of the highways impact of any prior approval.
Question 5: Do you agree there should be permitted development rights, as proposed, for buildings used for agricultural purposes to change use to new state funded schools and nurseries proving childcare and to carry out building work connected with the change of use?
Yes ⊠ No □
Comments
Whilst the Council is unaware of any problems that the current requirement for planning permission brings, and does not experience a significant demand for such uses, the proposal could support rural communities. In article 1(5) land there will need to be prior approval for the alterations to buildings to protect the character and appearance of the landscape and any conservation area.

Question 6: Do you have any comments and further evidence on the benefits and impact of our proposals set out in the consultation?

Yes	No	

Comments

The economic disbenefits of the proposed permitted development rights for the change of use of agricultural buildings to dwellings should not be underestimated. These include loss of potential employment in rural areas as barns suitable for re-use for rural employment are instead used for housing. This simply means that those living in rural areas have even less access to employment opportunities as these will be more concentrated than ever in towns – and this at a time whe rural bus services to towns are declining as subsidies are cut. Meanwhile, Councils will have to run more school buses at an increasing cost to the local taxpayer to take children from these remote locations to the nearest school

Then there is the economic hit from the potential reduction in tourism – rural areas will simply become less attractive to those seeking walking holidays and open countryside if every barn in the landscape is recreated as a dwelling. This is going the way of the Irish planning system where the countryside has been blighted by numerous houses and bungalows dotting the countryside.

Government aims to increase sustainability and cut carbon emissions down will also be adversely affected – people will simply have to travel by private car to reach services – using more fossil fuel and clogging up roads into town centres.

The existing planning system has provided a pretty good balance in protecting the character and appearance of the countryside from intrusion from unwarranted residential development, whilst fostering diversification of the agricultural economy into business use. This proposal tips the balance the other way and removes the protection that has served the country well for decades.

It is unwarranted, unjustifiable and unacceptable.

Thank you for your comments.

Consultation criteria

About this consultation

Representative groups are asked to give a summary of the people and organisations they represent, and where relevant who else they have consulted in reaching their conclusions when they respond.

Information provided in response to this consultation, including personal information, may be published or disclosed in accordance with the access to information regimes (these are primarily the Freedom of Information Act 2000, the Data Protection Act 1998 and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004). If you want the information that you provide to be treated as confidential, please be aware that under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, there is a statutory code of practice with which public authorities must comply and which deals, amongst other things, with obligations of confidence. In view of this it would be helpful if you could explain to us why you regard the information you have provided as confidential. If we receive a request for disclosure of the information we will take full account of your explanation, but we cannot give an assurance that confidentiality can be maintained in all circumstances. An automatic confidentiality disclaimer generated by your IT system will not, of itself, be regarded as binding on the Department.

The Department for Communities and Local Government will process your personal data in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998 and in the majority of circumstances this will mean that your personal data will not be disclosed to third parties. Individual responses will not be acknowledged

unless specifically requested. Your opinions are valuable to us. Thank you for taking the time to read this document and respond.

If you have any queries regarding the consultation process, please contact: DCLG Consultation Co-ordinator Zone 6/H10 Eland House London SW1E 5DU

email: consultationcoordinator@communities.gsi.gov.uk

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Simon Killane, Malmesbury Division

To Councillor Keith Humphries, Cabinet Member for Public Health, Protection Services, Adult Care and Housing (excluding Strategic Housing)

Question 45

Residents living in sheltered and specialist accommodation for older people (Over 55s) have expressed concern about the suitability of placing younger people to live in such accommodation and the possible issues that arise due to the differing social habits of each age group. What is the policy of the council with respect to age restricted housing areas and what is the relationship between Housing Associations and the council when setting age requirements for Social housing?

Response

A verbal response was given at the meeting.

No briefing notes used.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Simon Killane, Malmesbury Division

To Councillor Jane Scott OBE, Leader of the Council

Question 46

Can you give an update on progress with any policy initiative to allow Full Council meetings to be streamed to the general public through the new systems that have been installed in the council chamber?

Response

A verbal response was given at the meeting.

No written notes

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Trevor Carbin, Holt and Staverton Division

To Councillor John Thomson, Deputy Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Highways and Streetscene and Broadband

Question 47

Following on from the briefing note circulated to members and parish councils in September, what subsequent work has been done to reduce the on-going congestion on the A303 and local roads around Stonehenge?

Response

Cllr Fleur de Rhé-Phillipe spoke to these questions. A verbal response was given largely based off the following briefing notes.

(Same as Question 34)

Traffic in the vicinity of Stonehenge has been influenced by a number of temporary and permanent changes to the local road network over the past year, and visitors to the stones over the summer have been at a peak. There will of course be a further change in December when the new visitor centre is opened, and monitoring will be carried out once that steady state has been achieved. Data will need to be collected over time to record seasonal variations, and will include routes that have the potential for diversion by cars and HGV's.

The Council is continuing to work with the Highways Agency to find ways to mitigate the problems of delays on the A303 and the additional traffic on the alternative local routes.



COUNCILLORS BRIEFING NOTE

No. 161

Service Area: Sustainable Transport Further Enquiries to: Allan Creedy

Date Prepared: September 2013 Direct Line: (01225) 713444

Traffic in Vicinity of the New Stonehenge Visitors Centre

This note is intended as an update for Parishes and Members regarding recent changes to roads near Stonehenge

It is acknowledged that there have been significant delays on the A303 this summer, and that these delays will have been affected to some degree by the closure of the A344 at Stonehenge Bottom.

The planning application for the new visitor centre proposal by English Heritage was supported by Wiltshire Council, but the stopping up of the A344 in the vicinity of Stonehenge was subject to an independent inquiry(arranged by the Department for Transport) following an application by English Heritage. Neither the Council nor the Highways Agency objected to the stopping up of the A344, but many others did; it was accepted that there would be a degree of additional delay on the A303. The Inquiry Inspector heard the objections to the road closure, but found the proposal to be acceptable, and approved the making of an Order to stop up a length of the A344.

Two roundabouts were constructed for different reasons. The A303/A360 Longbarrow junction was improved by the Highways Agency to provide capacity for the forecast flows on both routes through the junction. The A360/B3086/A344 junction was provided by English Heritage to accommodate the forecast flows at this junction, and to provide a safer form of junction for the future traffic flows.

We do not yet have data available to indicate the extent to which traffic flows on the A303 and local roads might have increased this year, but the Council understands that the July visitor numbers to Stonehenge were at a peak. This could be an indicator that A303 traffic levels have increased during the same period.

We have been aware that, in addition to the effects of traffic slowing to view the Stones, there was also an issue with drivers attempting to turn across the traffic stream to enter Byway 12, which passes close to the Stones. Action has been taken to address this latter problem, with traffic regulation orders being secured to prohibit right turning into and out of the byway at its A303 junction. It is hoped that this measure will be respected by drivers, including those whose satnav devices might otherwise have directed them onto the byway.

The Highways Agency is responsible for the traffic on the A303, and they are well aware of local concerns. Wiltshire Council will continue to work with the Highways Agency to find ways to mitigate the problems of delays on the A303 and the additional traffic on the alternative local routes.

Next Steps

The traffic situation is anticipated to stabilise over the months following the opening of the new visitor centre, when an objective assessment can be made in relation to necessary actions. These are expected to include monitoring, and, if necessary, address the anticipated impact of vehicles on Byway 12, and to make arrangements to collect some traffic data to assess the ongoing impacts on local communities.

Visitor numbers to Stonehenge will also be monitored so that before and after comparisons can be made. English Heritage intend to erect local fold-down signing to alert drivers who are not pre-booked not to enter the visitor centre on those days when visitor numbers are at their highest. This could lead to some issues on the local network.

We will continue to work with the DfT and Highways Agency to press for a resolution to the constraints on the A303 trunk road, particularly as it affects journey time reliability around Stonehenge.

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Dr Helena McKeown, Salisbury St Edmund and Milford Division

To Councillor John Thomson, Deputy Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Highways and Streetscene and Broadband

Question 48

The Salisbury Transport Strategy Options Assessment Report dated 11/1/2010 recommended that the proposed strategy be further refined and be subject to stakeholder consultation. The Options Assessment Report Addendum dated 2/8/2011 similarly expected "that a more extensive stakeholder consultation is undertaken to refine the Salisbury Transport Strategy" (para 6.14).

The Inspectors Report on the Examination into the South Wiltshire Core Strategy dated 14.10.11 made the point in relation to the Options Assessment Report that "the Council has yet to decide what its Transportation Strategy will be" (para 147) and indicated that "the Salisbury Transport Strategy needs to be finalised" (para 155).

This position is reflected in the Local Development Scheme for Wiltshire (dated November 2011, updated August 2012) where the Salisbury Transport Strategy had a 'date complete/anticipated' given as 'Anticipated June 2012'.

It is clear from recent developments in Salisbury that the work done to date on the Salisbury Transport Strategy has failed to consider in sufficient detail a number of key areas, including public transport interchange facilities, city centre pedestrianisation, and steps necessary to encourage active travel, reduce city centre congestion and address air quality issues.

In the light of the above would the Cabinet member please clarify the opportunities and time-frame for local stakeholder consultation and finalisation of an agreed Salisbury Transport Strategy?

Response

The evidence considered by the Inspector at the Examination in Public advocated a transport strategy based on objective-led planning principles. The strategy prepared and submitted by the Council defined a set of transport initiatives for the Salisbury

and Wilton area that that are able to address the impacts of additional transport demand caused by expected new development in the plan area up to 2026.

The Inspector endorsed a strategy that he accepted would best enable Salisbury to meet the challenges of addressing future growth in travel demand in a sustainable manner.

The evidence and conclusions of that work sit as part of the EIP evidence base.

There was an acknowledged need for that position to be presented in a more easily accessible form - as such, the Council prepared a summary document which set out the key components of the strategy, which broadly comprise:

- × Park and Ride
- × Demand management
- × Public transport
- × Smarter choices
- × Walking and Cycling
- × Traffic management
- × ITS (Intelligent Transport System)

There are a number of specific areas within the strategy that always needed to be the subject of further discussion and consultation (the potential for changes in traffic routing within the city by way of example), however these are opportunities that need to be considered alongside development/redevelopment proposals as and when they emerge.

In order to develop that position further, the Council has been pleased to agree that the Salisbury Vision partnership should be the principal local reference group to which the Council will refer in developing and refining its integrated transport strategy for Salisbury. The Vision has identified 3 priority areas for action being:

- 1. Public Transport
- 2. Public Realm, Pedestrianisation, Shared Space and Tourism and
- 3. Car and Coach Parking

Task groups have been established to progress these in detail, and they are already providing valuable energy and direction towards implementation.

The strategy remains the basis for that work.

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Dr Helena McKeown, Salisbury St Edmund and Milford Division

To Councillor Toby Sturgis, Cabinet Member for Strategic Planning, Development Management, Strategic Housing, Property, Waste

Question 49

The September 2013 version of Wiltshire Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) includes various items for Salisbury and South Wiltshire which have not featured in the South Wiltshire or Wiltshire Core Strategies and it is not clear how these have been selected for inclusion. As an example, the IDP for Salisbury includes (ref SAL017) a Boathouse for Wiltshire Scullers School estimated to cost £275K. This would appear to be linked with the requirement in the Wiltshire Strategic, Regional and General Appendix (ref WC020) to "Fully equip 22 rowing schools in

Wiltshire with good quality boats" with an estimated cost of £2 million.

Could the Cabinet member please clarify the process whereby items have been selected for inclusion in the September 2013 version of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and indicate how local support for these proposals has been confirmed?

Given the proposals to redevelop the existing bus station and the coach park in Salisbury, will the Council be adding the requirement for replacement bus facilities, and equivalent coach facilities which will enable Salisbury to retain its Coach Friendly accreditation, to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan?

Response

The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) is an iterative document that is designed to be updated on a regular basis to reflect the infrastructure required to support growth. The process of preparing the IDP involves gathering information from infrastructure and service providers, as well as the community to arrive at a list of requirements to support the development proposals set out in the Core Strategy. The information gathered is then categorised in line with Core Policy 3 of the emerging Wiltshire Core Strategy as being either - "essential" (i.e. required to make development happen") or "place-shaping".

The latest version of the IDP presents information received from 'Wiltshire Scullers' (Ref SAL017) and the items listed have been categorised as 'place-shaping'. As

such, they do not comprise essential infrastructure and would take lower priority to essential infrastructure requirements including sustainable transport infrastructure.

In terms of funding, it is important to note that the items listed may in fact be funded in part, or wholly by the Wiltshire Scullers themselves. Therefore, the draw-down on traditional funding mechanisms such as Section 106, or new revenue streams such as Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) may in fact be negligible. These matters will be continuously monitored and re-assessed as required.

As outlined above, the IDP is an iterative document and will be kept under review. It is likely that infrastructure requirements will change over time and the life of the emerging Wiltshire Core Strategy. As such, the process of nominating new requirements and prioritising accordingly will be matters for the council to assess on an annual basis.

It is also important to note that the IDP is also used as evidence to support the council's proposals for CIL. Once the local CIL arrangements have been finalised and adopted, the council is required to set out details of how it intends to spend revenue accrued through the application of the levy. In addition, local communities will gain direct access to CIL receipts to spend as they see fit on local priorities. At this stage, the government have determined that 15% of CIL revenue will be passed onto town and parish councils to spend on delivering local priorities. This figure rises to 25% for those communities who have a neighbourhood plan.

With regard to the Bus Station in Salisbury, both the Salisbury Vision and the Core Strategy share the objective of improving public transport in Salisbury and encouraging more people to use it.

Project 5 of the Vision states the following:

5 Bus station

'Develop as a residential led scheme with other associated commercial use such as restaurant, bar and café'

Rationale:

- To support the traffic management and transport projects in creating a more pedestrian focused centre.
- To provide a high quality scheme in the centre of Salisbury.

Projects:

- a) The redevelopment of this site should only be considered if suitable alternative arrangements are in place for the buses and coaches.
- b) Any development should ensure active frontages through windows and doors onto Endless Street and Rollestone Street.

The redevelopment of the Bus Station is an identified project of the Vision for Salisbury. However, as criteria (b) above sets out; it should only go ahead when suitable alternative arrangements are made for buses and coaches.

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Stephen Oldrieve, Trowbridge Paxcroft Division

To Councillor Jane Scott OBE, Leader of the Council

Question 50

Are you satisfied with the way bottom up (from a local member point of view) policy development is being dealt with by your administration.

Response

A verbal response was given largely based off the following briefing notes.

Yes – overall there are good opportunities for policies to be developed bottom up. Examples of how this currently works, and is being enhanced, are set out below:

- The Council's business plan sets out the high level outcomes for Wiltshire whilst not being prescriptive about how these are delivered. In almost every case policy is driven by central government departments, however its relevance and implementation for Wiltshire can be determined at a lower level. It is here that local communities represented though local members can be involved in bottom up policy development.
- The use of Area Boards further creates opportunities for enabling local members to be engaged in local policy development relevant to their local community area. Officers within the corporate office will be reviewing the way that policy briefings are presented to place greater emphasis on what this means for Wiltshire. This information will be helpful for local Area Boards in enabling the local members to consider how best the delivery mechanisms for these policies can be implemented within their area.
- The scrutiny work programme provides an excellent opportunity for local members to be involved in both the review and development of policy. Members have an opportunity to influence and develop the work programme as well as scoping these reviews through the scrutiny process. Looking to the future we will considering how we can enhance the role of scrutiny.

Joint Strategic Assessments cascade down to community area levels where local actions and policies are developed to deliver the evidence based priorities. This enables local members and interested residents to take the areas circumstances into consideration in developing actions and policies that address the specific needs of their local area.

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Ernie Clark, Hilperton Division

To Councillor John Thomson, Deputy Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Highways and Streetscene and Broadband

Question 51

Why do Section 220 notices (sometimes requesting hundreds of thousands of pounds) remain registered against properties as a local land charge sometimes years after the developer has discharged their obligation to the highway authority by entering into a road adoption agreement?

This causes delays when home owners come to sell their properties with the Notices still being unduly registered.

I am aware of a number of occasions when solicitors have written to this authority asking if they intend to enforce the notice against individual home owners, only to be told it should not still be registered and that they will ask Land Charges Dept. to remove the entry.

Response

Section 220 of the Highways Act 1980 relates to the Advance Payments Code, and applies wherever a new building is to be erected and will have a frontage onto a private street.

The Code requires a developer to provide the Highway Authority with monies or security sufficient to cover the costs of roadworks to bring the street up to an adoptable standard by the Highway Authority. This aims to relieve house buyers of potential road charge liabilities under the Private Street Works Code if the Developer defaults.

A Notice under Section 220 of the Highways Act 1980 is served following receipt of Building Regulations approval specifying the amount to be deposited or secured in respect of the street works charges for those dwellings for which approval has been granted which must be paid before building works commence.

Such notices continue to revealed even when the charge has been secured, ensuring that purchasers have the comfort of knowing that potential street works charges have been dealt with on their behalf.

Charge notices should not be revealed once estate roads have been adopted, however it is acknowledged that from time to time, the existence of a prior charge is shown on a local search. Any questions arising are dealt with easily and without delay, however it is accepted that efforts are required to ensure that such occurrences are avoided.

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Stephen Oldrieve, Trowbridge Paxcroft Division

To Councillor Keith Humphries, Cabinet Member for Public Health, Protection Services, Adult Care and Housing (excluding Strategic Housing)

Question 52

Further to your email below, please can you provide me with a summary of your review into provision of an out-of-hours Environmental call-out service and does it include a protocol for the gaining the support of Wiltshire Constabulary where excessive & extreme noise incidents are reported?

Email from Cllr Humphries dated 28 January 2013: Not yet. This is work in progress. Officers are gathering data, analysing the last few years and bench marking against other authorities. I should have data by next week so we can explore any options.

I believe there is to be a review of all the out of hours services the council operates and Public Protection will obviously be part of that but I'm waiting for further info. In the meantime we will continue with our review. I'll keep you informed.

Response

The review of the Public Protection emergency out of hours services has suffered some delay due to the impact of the recent voluntary redundancy programme and the restructuring and integration of the Public Health and Public Protection teams.

Benchmarking work has been completed with other unitary authorities in the region.

Discussions have also taken place with the police on the use of the 101 telephone service and the exchange of information in relation to out of hours noise calls.

It is anticipated that the review of the public protection element will be completed by the end of November.

- The corporate review of remuneration for out of hours working across the whole council has also been affected by staff being focussed on the recent corporate VR programme.
- · Officers are now starting to progress this work again.
- It is recognised that out of hours call answering across all services is worthy
 of review.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Stephen Oldrieve, Trowbridge Paxcroft Division

To Councillor Toby Sturgis, Cabinet Member for Strategic Planning, Development Management, Strategic Housing, Property, Waste

Question 53

WC policy development on maintaining/disposing of small pockets of open green space.

Please can I have an update on your email below, with a target date to present a draft proposal to the Trowbridge Area Board?

Sent: 29 August 2013 15:42

To: Oldrieve, Steve Subject: Open Space

Dear Steve.

John has forwarded your email to me and I will try and expedite the policy. I understand it has got delayed due to long term illness and another officer being on maternity leave. I will raise the issue with the property team at my monthly meeting next month and then update you.

Regards, Toby.

Response

Key Action 8 of the Business Plan clearly sets out the proposal that cost neutral packages of land, services and assets are to be offered for delegation to town and parish councils. From discussions with colleagues in other unitary authorities, this Council has completed significantly more than them.

We are actively reviewing our assets on a town and parish council area basis. This includes identifying what public open green space could be transferred in each Parish and Town Council area and considering what, if any, services could also transfer with these.

Most of these areas are protected as open space areas with either planning restriction or restrictive covenants or both. Therefore in the majority of cases, these smaller areas of public open space will need to remain as such and, for example, would not be able to be easily incorporated into an existing private garden or landholding.

Due to the cost and complexity of individual transactions, I am working with officers to ensure that the council's resources are focused on transfers to Town and Parish councils in line with the business plan, rather than transfers to individuals.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Chris Caswill, Chippenham Monkton Division

To Councillor Jane Scott OBE, Leader of the Council

Question 54

What are the Terms of Reference of the Wiltshire Health and Wellbeing Board (HWB) which you chair, and where are they publicly available? Do they include a commitment to the principles of a public NHS?

Response

The terms of reference for the Health and Wellbeing Board were agreed by Annual Council in May this year and are set out in those papers and on the website.

The terms of reference are the statutory functions of the Health and Wellbeing Board contained within the Health and Social Care Act 2012, which are:-

- to prepare Joint Strategic Needs Assessments (JSNAs) and Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategies (JHWSs), which is a duty of local authorities and clinical commissioning groups (CCGs).
- a duty to encourage integrated working between health and social care commissioners, including providing advice, assistance or other support to encourage arrangements under Section 75 of the National Health Service Act 2006 (i.e. lead commissioning, pooled budgets and/or integrated provision) in connection with the provision of health and social care services.
- a power to encourage close working between commissioners of health related services and the board itself.
- a power to encourage close working between commissioners of healthrelated services (such as housing and many other local government services) and commissioners of health and social care services

Question 55

How is the work of the HWB to be accountable to this Council? Noting that the publicly stated principles of the HWB include "accountability to the communities it serves", how is that accountability to be delivered?

Response

The Health and Wellbeing Board is underpinned by the principle of shared ownership of the board by all its members (with commitment from their nominating organisations) and accountability to the communities it serves.

The Board is constituted as a council committee, with agendas and papers published in advance, meetings held in public and minutes of the Health and Wellbeing Board made available to Council. The Board will undertake consultation and engagement on its core work and consider reports on progress. All members of the Health and Wellbeing Board are also required to adhere to the Council's code of conduct and declare interests.

Membership of the Health and Wellbeing Board includes Healthwatch Wiltshire, whose role includes acting as an independent consumer champion - representing the local community, giving a stronger voice to influence and challenge how health and social care services are provided in Wiltshire.

The relationship with other council structures, including scrutiny committees and safeguarding boards, was covered in the paper to Annual Council.

Question 56

The papers for the September meeting of the HWB included a brief 6 lines on the upcoming CCG retendering of the Community Services contract and an appended timeline. This seems an extraordinarily brief, even careless approach to the first major competitive tendering exercise in Wiltshire, which will open up previously publicly-funded health services in Wiltshire to private sector organisations. Given the huge sensitivity of this process, what steps has the HWB taken to assure itself (and the public) that the CCG has the capacity to write the specification in such a way that the outcome will be determined on grounds of clinical quality, and will not allow for loss-leader private sector bids?

Response

The papers for the first formal meeting of the HWB in September included 13 pages on the Community Services Transformation programme, inclusive of the CCG's proposals for tendering at that time.

The CCG is responsible for the tendering of the Community Services contract and is taking advice from NHS England, Monitor and the Competition Commission.

However, noting the Board's duty to encourage integrated working, and the potential impact on the transformation programme and indeed the council's own services,

there was a thorough discussion at the Board on the suitability of the timeframes for the proposed retendering. This is covered in the minutes of the last meeting. A further update on this will be provided at the next meeting of the Health and Wellbeing Board.

In-between meetings of the Health and Wellbeing Board, the council is in continuous discussions with the CCG on the matter of community services tendering.

Question 57

Reference is made in the Appendix to the role of a Joint Commissioning Board, but there is no information on this Board on the CCG web site nor in published HWB material. What is the role of this Board and who are its members?

Response

The role of the Joint Commissioning Board for Adult Services was referred to in the paper to Annual Council on the establishment of the Health and Wellbeing Board. It is a strategic group comprising representatives of the council and CCG. It acts as an advisory body to the two commissioning organisations, making jointly agreed recommendations for change.

Joint commissioning of services for both adults and children will work as sub groups of the Health and Wellbeing Board and, whilst not accountable to the HWB, the commissioning arrangements are brought together at Board level through joined up reporting arrangements.

Membership from CCG

- Accountable Officer Deborah Fielding
- · Chief Finance Officer Simon Truelove
- · Director of Quality and Patient Safety Jacqui Chidgey-Clark
- Director of Planning, Performance and Corporate Services David Noyes
- · Group Director WWYKD Mike Relph
- Group Director Sarum Mark Harris
- Group Director NEW Ted Wilson

Membership from Wiltshire Council

- Corporate Director Maggie Rae
- Service Director Strategy & Commissioning James Cawley
- · Finance representative to be advised
- Head of Commissioning, Older People Nicola Gregson
- Head of Commissioning, Specialist Services George O'Neill
- Head of Performance, Health & Workforce Sue Geary
- Head of New Housing Janet O'Brien
- Head of Business Change Iain Kirby
- Public Health Consultant to be advised

Other attendees are in an advisory/supporting role as required (e.g. NHS England). A proposal for a GP representative and the relevant cabinet member to attend is due for decision shortly.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Chris Caswill, Chippenham Monkton Division

To Councillor Jane Scott OBE, Leader of the Council

Question 58

After the redistribution of Licensing services around other Council service areas, where does responsibility for Wiltshire Council licensing policy and strategy lie within the Council leadership?

Response

In terms of member responsibility for the licensing policy, the position is determined by the Licensing Act 2003. Section 7 of that Act provides that responsibility for approval of the Council's statement of licensing policy lies with full council and all other matters relating to the discharge by the Council of its licensing functions are exercised by the Licensing Committee. Responsibility for preparation of the licensing strategy remains within the Public Health and Public Protection Team and this has not been affected by the re-allocation of other licensing functions, such as street trading and taxi licensing.

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Chris Caswill, Chippenham Monkton Division

To Councillor Keith Humphries, Cabinet Member for Public Health, Protection Services, Adult Care and Housing (excluding Strategic Housing)

Question 59

How long has the Council had access to (a) Early Morning Restriction Orders, (b) Late Night Levies and (c) Cumulative Impact Policies?

Response

Early Morning Restriction Orders (EMROs) and Late Night Levies (LNLs) came in to force in October 2012 as part of the Police and Social Responsibility Act 2011. Cumulative Impact Policies have been available to use since November 2005 as part of the Licensing Act 2003. However, in the Police and Social Responsibility Act 2011 this was amended to make it easier for councils to use / implement them as the evidential burden was reduced. Cumulative Impact Policies are mentioned in Wiltshire Council current licensing statement as something that could be considered, but until the amendment in 2011; it was difficult to invoke them.

As I've mentioned in response to one of the other questions, we're currently in the process of scoping the evidence around Milford Street and going out to consultation on this as part of the new licensing statement.

Question 60

Why have none of these powers been adopted by the Council, with the sole exception of the initial work now being done on a possible policy on Cumulative Impact in the Milford Street area of Salisbury?

Response

Recent changes to the Licensing Act have given new adoptive powers to the Council. These include the power to make Early Morning Restriction Orders (EMROs) and to impose Late Night Levies (LNLs). These are in addition to the previous powers to adopt Cumulative Impact Policies. These powers can only be implemented if the Council is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so. Any decision must therefore be based on evidence that there is an identified problem and that the use of any of these powers is appropriate to address that problem. At present, officers do not consider that there is enough evidence to pursue EMROs and LNLs at this time.

As part of the development of the new Licensing Statement, which must be completed by November 2014, officers are currently working with partners to gather the evidence to support a possible Cumulative Impact Zone for Milford Street in Salisbury. Consultation on this element will begin in January 2014.

Question 61

Does the loss of to voluntary redundancy of two of the three senior staff in the Council's Licensing Services, and the dismantling of the service, mean that in effect the Council has abandoned a capacity for any proactive Licensing intervention to reduce the effects and costs of late night alcohol-fuelled crime and anti-social behaviour in our town centres?

Response

The VR process has provided us with an opportunity to review the licensing functions and determine how the expertise of our licensing officers is best utilised. The Council's licensing services will be focused purely on the Licensing Act and Gambling Act and as such will be better placed to continue and build on the proactive interventions available to them to reduce the impact of alcohol fuelled night time economy issues.

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Chris Caswill, Chippenham Monkton Division

To Councillor John Thomson, Deputy Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Highways and Streetscene and Broadband

Question 62

Why did it take so long to sign the new mega-contract with Balfour Beatty, and why was that followed so quickly by a public statement by Balfour Beatty that they had already lost a million pounds in their work for the Council and were having to move road repair staff to grass cutting work (just as winter was approaching)?

Response

There was no significant delay in signing the contract with Balfour Beatty Living Places (BBLP). In fact the signing took place much sooner than is usually the case with this type of contract. The depot leases and TUPE pension agreements all had to be in place before the contract was signed and these issues were agreed promptly.

The contract involved the transfer of staff from the Council and from the previous suppliers, and required new ways of working to be established. In order to achieve this BBLP are having to reorganise some aspects of their service in order to be able to deliver the Council's requirements for the future. The increased management capacity recently introduced by BBLP, and the additional resources being directed to the contract, are already beginning to show benefits, and these are expected to continue.

The position with regard to this contract was reported to Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee on 5th November and will be the subject of a rapid scrutiny, with performance being reported annually. There are monitoring systems and measures in place to manage the contract, and a series of weekly meetings have been established between the Council's and BBLP's Directors, chaired by Dr Carlton Brand, Corporate Director. Elected members also attend these meetings.

The response to the recent storm by BBLP was very good, and preparations have been completed for the winter season, with gritters maintained, staff trained and salt supplies in place.

Question 63

In the Chippenham Monkton ward alone, the completion of the major road works on Langley Road have been delayed from July to this month; road safety improvements approved by the local CATG for the Lowden Hill tunnel are delayed from October to January at the earliest; and there is no information available at all about the delivery of white lining of a disabled bay in Tugela Road, and of dangerous junctions in Eastern Avenue which were promised and commissioned by Council officers in the summer. Assuming this pattern of delay is reflected across the County, is the contract with Balfour Beatty now out of the control of the Council and its members and officers? What assurances can you give to members of the public affected by these delays and what steps are going to be taken to improve outcomes from now on?

Response

The final stage of the major resurfacing work at Langley Road was partly delayed to enable the works to be extended to include the adjacent mini-roundabout at the request of Cllr Caswell. The timing of the works was also to enable the works to be carried out safely and to reduce the disruption to traffic in Chippenham.

Officers are working closely with BBLP to ensure that ordered works are now delivered without further delay and in accordance with agreed programmes. Much collaborative effort has taken place, and will continue to take place, between officers and BBLP to ensure that through robust and accurate programme management, the previous delays do not re-occur. BBLP have made changes internally to their management structure so that their roles and responsibilities align better with the way in which the Council operates. This will improve their overall efficiency and throughput of work.

Officers are working closely with BBLP to ensure that ordered works are now delivered without further delay and in accordance with agreed programmes. Much collaborative effort has taken place and will continue to take place between officers and BBLP to ensure that through robust and accurate programme management the previous delays do not re-occur. BBLP have made changes internally to their employee structure and their roles and responsibilities to align themselves better with the way in which the Council operates in order to improve their overall efficiency and through put of work.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Jeff Osborn, Trowbridge Grove Division

To Councillor Jane Scott OBE, Leader of the Council

Question 64

How many staff are employed in the Comms Team?

Response

There are 30.2 Full Time Equivalents (this includes web, design, and print team).

Question 65

What is the ratio between the highest paid employee of Wiltshire Council and the lowest?

Response

The ratio of highest paid to lowest paid employee within the council is published annually in February in the Pay Policy Statement which is available on the Wiltshire Council website. As you know the Pay Policy Statement is agreed by Full Council.

The current ratio of highest paid to lowest paid employee as at November 2013:

	Annual FTE	Ratio
	Salary	
Highest Paid	£139,096	
Lowest paid	£12,435	11.19

The Hutton Review of fair pay in the public sector recommends a maximum ratio of highest paid employee to lowest paid employee of 1:20. The Wiltshire Council ratio of 1:11 clearly falls well within these guidelines.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Jeff Osborn, Trowbridge Grove Division

To Councillor Richard Tonge, Cabinet Member for Finance, Performance, Risk, Procurement and Welfare Reform

Question 66

The Welfare Reforms obliged some Wiltshire residents who previously received Council Tax Benefit to pay a proportion of Council Tax for the first time. Do we know how many of such people are in arrears? Have any been taken to court?

Response

The council tax reduction (CTR) scheme replaced council tax benefit for those of working age and around 16,000 households are currently entitled to CTR. CTR may cover some or all of the council tax the household is liable to pay.

Historically around 11,000 court orders are obtained by the Council for non-payment of council tax each year, equating to around 6% of all liable households. So far 7047 have been obtained for the current financial year to 31st October 2013. The careful design of the CTR scheme has meant that the impact has resulted in only a small increase in the number of court orders obtained.

To date, of the 7047 households, 1907 are in receipt of CTR have also been taken to court as a result of either non-payment or late payment.

Council

12 November 2013

Item 8 - Questions from Councillors

From Councillor Jeff Osborn, Trowbridge Grove Division

To Councillor Keith Humphries, Cabinet Member for Public Health, Protection Services, Adult Care and Housing (excluding Strategic Housing)

Question 67

Do Wiltshire Council Environmental Health officers inspect school kitchens?

Response

School kitchens are inspected by Environmental Health Officers and Public Protection Officers from within the Food and Safety Team.